PATENT Docket No. P-10909.00 (M&R 134.01910101) HECEIVE

	IN THE UNITED STATE	S PATE	NT AND TRADEMAL	RK OFFICE	CENTRAL FAX CENTER
			Group Art Unit:	1713	JUN 0 3 2004
Applicant(s	s): Benz et al.	Ś	_		OFFICIAL
Serial No.:	No. 1200	)	Examiner:	Unassigne	
Confirmati		ý			- 15
Filed:	September 16, 2003	)			
For:	COMPOUNDS CONTA	INING (	QUATERNARY CARI	SONS AND SI	LICON-

## FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION TO THE PTO

Commissioner for Patents

Mail Stop Amendment
P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Commissioner for Patents
FAX NUMBER: (703) 872-9306

Total Pages (including cover page): 10

Time: 7.20 A. (Central Time)

(Transmission must be complete by midnight eastern time.)

The following papers are being transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office by facsimile transmission:

X Information Disclosure Statement (2 pgs); and a copy of the Written Opinion from PCT/US03/29153 (7 pgs).

Mucting, Raasch & Gebhardt, P.A.

Please consider this a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME for a sufficient number of months to enter these papers and please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-4895.

	Customer Number: 26813
0 3 2004	By: non Johnson
Que 3, 2004	Nancy A. Johnson
Date	Reg. No. 47,266
	Direct Dial (612)305-4723

June 3, 2004	Signature Que (lu-
Date	Name: SAM HER

If you do not receive all pages, please contact us at (612)305-1220 (ph) or (612)305-1228 (fax).

# MUETING RAASCH GEBHARDT RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 0 3 2004

PATENT Docket No. P-10909.00 (M&R 134.01910101)

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s):	Benz et al.	) Group Art Unit:	1713
Serial No.: Confirmation	10/663,926 No.: 2299	) Examiner:	Unassigned
Filed:	September 16, 2003		
For:	COMPOUNDS CONTAININ	, G QUATERNARY CARB	ONS AND SILICON-

CONTAINING GROUPS, MEDICAL DEVICES, AND METHODS
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In accordance with the continuing duty of candor and good faith that is to be demonstrated before the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), enclosed for the Examiner's information is a copy of the written opinion from a foreign counterpart (PCT/US03/29153). Per M.P.E.P. § 609, the information cited in the present Information Disclosure Statement shall not be construed to be an admission that the information is, or is considered to be, material to patentability.

It is believed that no fee is due, as this Information Disclosure Statement is filed prior to the receipt of any Action on the merits. However, in the event a fee is due, please charge any fee or credit any overpayment to Account No. 13-4895.

Page 2 of 2

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant(s): Benz et al. Serial No.: 10/663,926 Confirmation No.: 2299 Filed: September 16, 2003

For: COMPOUNDS CONTAINING QUATERNARY CARBONS AND SILICON-CONTAINING GROUPS,

MEDICAL DEVICES, AND METHODS

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' Representatives at the belowlisted telephone number, if they can be of any assistance during prosecution of the present application.

### CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8:

The undersigned hereby certifies that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile in accordance with 37 CFR §1.6(d) to the Patent and Trademark Office, addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this 2 december of the American Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 2004, at 2.30 AM (Central Time).

Name: Cana HER

(June 3, 2004

Respectfully submitted for

Benz et al.

By Mueting, Raasch & Gebhardt, P.A. P.O. Box 581415 Minneapolis, MN 55458-1415 Telephone (612)305-1220 Facsimile (612)305-1228

Customer Number 26813

Nancy A. Johnson Reg. No. 47,266

Direct Dial (612)305-4723

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

rom t	INAT	ONA	L PRE	LIMINARY EXA	MINING AUTHORITY		PCHUETING&	DARGO
To:							PCT """	revigiji
MUE	TING	S, An Raas	n, M. ch &	Gebhardt, P.A.				
P.O.	Box	5B14	16			~ /	WRITTEN OPINION	
				458-1415 ERIQUE	<b>.</b>	•	(PCT Rule 66) 7/11/00	Remin
				, - ~			8/1/0	i Resp D
				<u></u>		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	11.05.2004	(OKT)
	cent's )909.		nts file	reference 4.0191	0201	REPLY DUE	within 3 month(s) from the above date of me	uling
		appli 3/29	cation	<u> </u>	International filling date (c 16.09.2003	iay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 17.09.2002	
				sification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC		
	G18/							
Appli				·				
MEI	OTRO	NIC,	INC.					
1.					drawn up by this internal		Admining Addicately.	
2.	This	opini	on cor	itains indications	relating to the following	items:		
	1	×	Basi	s of the opinion				
	11		Prior					
	<b>]</b> 11	<u></u>				noverty, inventive st	tep and industrial applicability	
	IV	⊠		of unity of inver				ioo hilih-
	٧	Ø	Rea	soned statement ions and explana	t under Hule 66.2(%)(ii) v ations supporting such s	van regard to nover tatement	ly, inventive step or industrial appl	cability,
	VI			ain documents o				
	Vji		Cert	ain defects in the	international application	п		
	ΛIII		Cerl	zin observations	on the international app	olication		
з.	The	appil	cant is	hereby invited	to reply to this opinion.			
	Whe	m?	requ	est this Authority it	ated above. The applicant is grant an extension, see F	lule 66.2(d).		
	How	17	By s For t	comitting a written	reply, accompanied, when nguage of the amendment	appropriate, by arres, s, see Rules 66.8 and	ndments, according to Rule 66.3. 66.9.	
	Also	<b>»</b> :	Ear !	the avaminarie obli	dunity to submit amendment gation to consider amendm mication with the examiner	ients and/or eroument	s, see Ruls 66.4 bis.	
	If no	reply	is file	d, the International	preliminary examination re	port will be establishe	ed on the basis of this opinion.	
4.	The	final minat	date b Jon re	y which the inter port must be esta	mational preliminary ablished according to Ru	ule <b>69.2</b> is: 17.01,20	005	
		_				Authorized Officer		

Europead Paient Office D-80296 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d



W	RITT	EN OPINI	ON	International application No.	PG1/05 03/28 153
		4 11 - 1 11	7		
	Basis of the opinion  With regard to the elements of the international ap the receiving Office in response to an invitation uni- filed"):			ional application (Replacement sheets w tition under Article 14 are referred to in th	hich have been furnished to is opinion as "originally
	Desc	ription, Pag	jes		
	1-33		as origina	ally filed	
	Clair	ns, Number	3		
	1-77		as origina	ully filed	
	Drav	vings, Shee	ts		
	1/1		as origina	ally filed	
2.	With	regard to thu	e language, all the eleme h the international applica	nts marked above were available or furnition was filed, unless otherwise indicated	ished to this Authority in the I under this item.
	Thes	se elements	were available or fumishe	d to this Authority in the following langua	ge: , which is:
		the language	of publication of the inter	for the purposes of the international sea mational application (under Rule 48.3(b)) I for the purposes of international prelimb	
3.	With inter	regard to ar	y nucleotide and/or ami iminary examination was	no acid sequence disclosed in the inten carried out on the basis of the sequence	national application, the listing:
		contained in	the international applicati	on in written form.	
		filed togethe	r with the international ap	plication in computer readable form.	
			bsequently to this Authori		
				ty in computer readable form.	
		in the intern	ational application as filed		
		The stateme listing has b	ent that the information receen furnished.	corded in computer readable form is iden	tical to the written sequence
4.	. The	amendment	s have resulted in the can	cellation of:	
		the descript	on, pages:		
		the claims,	Nos.:		
		the drawing	s, sheets:		
5	. 🗆	This opinion been consid	has been established as lered to go beyond the dis	if (some of) the amendments had not be closure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).	en made, since they have
6	. Adď	itional obser	vations, if necessary:		

WRITTEN OPINI			ON		International application No.	PCT/US 03/29153			
IV.	. Lac	ck of unity of	Invention						
1,	în r	esponse to the	invitation (Fo	invitation (Form PCT/IPEA405) to restrict or pay additional fees, the applicant has:					
		restricted the	claims.						
	□	paid addition	al fees.						
		paid addition	al fees under	protest.					
		neither restri	cted nor paid a	additional fees.	•				
2.	Ø	This Authorit	y found that the ecording to Ru	ne r <b>equire</b> ment ule 68.1, <b>n</b> ot to	of unity of invention is not compiled we invite the applicant to restrict or pay a	vith for the following reason additional fees:			
		see separate	e sheet						
3.	Co	nsequently, the	e following par tablishing this	rts of the interr opinion:	national application were the subject o	f international preliminary			
	×	all parts.							
		the parts rela	ting to claims	Nos.					
٧.	Re ap	asoned states plicability; cit	ment under R ations and ex	lule 66.2(a)(ii) cplanations si	with regard to novelty, inventive st upporting such statement	ep or industrial			
1.	Sta	atement							
	No	velty (N)		Claims	1-77				
	lnv	rentive step (IS	)·	Claims					
	Ind	tustrial applica	bility (IA)	Claims					
2.	Cit	ations and exp	lanations						
	se	e separate sh	eet						

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No. PCT/US03/29153

## SEPARATE SHEET

#### Re Item IV

## Lack of unity of invention

The common concept linking together the independent claims 1, 17, 40, 50, 57. 1. 71, 76 and 77 is the following:

the presence of the structural unit

which is the most reduced form of the Markush-type structural formulation (n = m = r = 0; p = s = q = 1; and  $Z = -C(R^3)_{2}$ -)

This common concept is not novel, see Item V, paragraph 4 below.

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, Inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: US-B1-6 420 452 (GUNATILLAKE ET AL) 16 July 2002 (2002-07-16)
- D2: EPIA-0 661 332 (KANEGAFUCHI CHEMICAL INDUSTRY) 5 July 1995 (1995-07-05)
- D3: W0 98/50086 A (MEDTRONIC) 12 November 1998 (1998-11-12)
- D4: EP-A-0 940 405 (WACKER-CHEMIE) 8 September 1999 (1999-09-08)
- The present application relates to:
  - a medical device comprising a polymer comprising a group of a certain formula (claims 1-16),
  - (ii) a medical device comprising a polymer prepared from a compound of a certain formula (claims 17-39).
  - (iii) a polymer comprising a group of a certain formula (claims 40-49),
  - (iv) a polymer comprising an urea and/or an urethane group and a group of a

WRITTEN OPINION SEPARATE SHEET International application No. PCT/US03/29153

certain formula (claims 50-56),

- (v) a polymer prepared from a compound of a certain formula (claims 57-70),
- (vi) a compound of a certain formula (claims 71-75)
- (vii) a method of making a polymer comprising a group of a certain formula (claim 76) and
- (viji) a method of making a compound of a certain formula (claim 77).
- The seven (7) identified independent claims are linked together due to the presence of the structural unit in its most reduced Markush-type form (n = m = r = 0; p = s = q = 1; and Z = -C(R<sup>3</sup>)<sub>z</sub>-):

- It should be noted that due to the feature "comprising" the claims on file are by no means restricted to the mandatory features expressed in said claims. Additional components or process steps may present to an unlimited extent.
- Document D1 discloses silicon containing chain extenders which are useful in the
  preparation of (polyurethane-containing) polymers, which polymers are useful in
  the preparation of medical devises (see column 1, lines 10-15 and claims 1-48).

Sald chain extender is exemplified by the Markush-type formula (I)

$$HO-R_{s}$$
- $\dot{S}i(R_{1}R_{3})-(R_{7}-Si(R_{2}R_{4}))_{n}-R_{6}-OH$ 

It is immediately evident that said formula (I) contains (= comprises!) the structural unit  $-C(\mathbf{R}^3)_z$ -Si(R)<sub>z</sub>. The ligands  $\mathbf{R}^3$  and  $\mathbf{R}$  are the same as presently claimed. Therefore, said disclosed formula (I) virtually overlaps the Markush-type formula presently claimed.

Thus, the subject matter of the claims on file lacks novelty (Art. 33(2) PCT).

 Document D2 discloses (see page 3, lines 6-27) structures which comprise the structural unit -C(R³)<sub>2</sub>-Si(R)<sub>2</sub>-. The ligands R³ and R are the same as presently claimed. WRITTEN OPINION SEPARATE SHEET International application No. PCT/US03/29153

Thus, the subject matter of the claims on file, more specifically at least independent claim 40 lacks novelty (Art. 33(2) PCT).

6. Document D3 discloses medical devises comprising segmented polymers comprising urethane groups as well as silicon groups (see page 6, line 3 to page 8, line 24; page 15, line 11 to page 16, line 18; claims 1, 9-13; examples 7-13, 17-20). In the examples polydimethylsiloxane diol (PDMS diol) is used to build in the soft segmented groups. As far as is known to the authorised officer exhibits PDMS diol the general structure HO-(Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-O-)<sub>n</sub>, which structure does not comprise the structural unit -C(R³)<sub>2</sub>-Si(R)<sub>2</sub>- as mandatory required by the present application.

Thus, D3 does not prejudice novelty of the present application.

Document D4 discloses organosilicone compounds which do not prejudice novelty
of the claims on file for the following reasons;

If one selects the indices a=1 and b=2 then formula (I) reduces to  $(R^2HC=CH-)_2Y-(R^2)_a-Si(R)_2-O$ . Since neither  $R^2$  nor Y are structurally identified, no conclusion can be drawn whether -Y-Si(R)<sub>2</sub>- (if d=0) or -(R<sup>2</sup>)-Si(R)<sub>2</sub>- (if d=1) meets the structural unit -C(R<sup>3</sup>)<sub>2</sub>-Si(R)<sub>2</sub>- as mandatory required by the present application.

- The applicant is invited to file a new set of claims of which he feels meets the requirements of the PCT.
- The applicant is given the opportunity to comment upon the literature cited in the International Search Report in view of any new claim to be filed (Rule 51 (1) (a) (i) PCT and PCT Guidelines C-II 4.3).
- The applicant is requested to file amendments by way of replacement pages in the manner stipulated by Rule 66.8(a) PCT. In particular, fair copies of the amendments should be filed preferably in triplicate.

Moreover, the applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, as a consequence of Rule 66.8(a) PCT the examiner is not permitted to carry out any amendments un-

WRITTEN OPINION SEPARATE SHEET International application No. PCT/US03/29153

der the PCT procedure, however minor these may be.

11. In order to facilitate the examination of the conformity of the amended application with the requirements of Article 34(2)(b) PCT, the applicant is requested to clearly identify the amendments carried out, no matter whether they concern amendments by addition, replacement or deletion, and to indicate the passages of the application as filed on which these amendments are based (see also Rule 66.8(a) PCT).

If the applicant regards it as appropriate these indications could be submitted in handwritten form on a copy of the relevant parts of the application as filed.

12. Any information the applicant may wish to submit concerning the subject-matter of the invention, for example further details of its advantages or of the problem it solves, and for which there is no basis in the application as filed, should be confined to the letter of reply rather than be incorporated into the application, Article 34(2)(b) PCT.